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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This student aid policy analysis paper proposes to fix flaws in the use of Bureau of Labor Statistics occupation wage data in the proposed gainful employment rules by combining that data with US Census Bureau income by educational attainment data. This approximation yields adjustment ratios to median Bureau of Labor Statistics occupation wage data of $3 / 4$ for Certificates, $7 / 8$ for Associate's degrees, 1 for Bachelor's degrees, 1-1/4 for Master's degrees, 1-1/2 for Doctoral degrees and 1-3/4 for Professional degrees.

## BACKGROUND

During negotiated rulemaking for Higher Education 2009-10, the US Department of Education proposed requiring eligible programs at for-profit colleges to satisfy an $8 \%$ debt-service-to-income threshold. ${ }^{1}$ Debt would be based on median student debt for the three most recent years of college graduates. ${ }^{2}$ Income would be based either on Bureau of Labor Statistics $25^{\text {th }}$ percentile wage data for year-round full-time workers ${ }^{3}$ or actual earnings of the college's graduates. Loan payments would be based on the standard 10year repayment plan for the unsubsidized Stafford loan program, with a $6.8 \%$ interest rate.

As noted in a previous student aid policy analysis paper concerning the gainful employment proposal, ${ }^{4}$ the use of Bureau of Labor Statistics wage data is problematic because it is not disaggregated by educational attainment. For example, students can earn an Associate's, Bachelor's or MBA in accounting, but all three degree programs are mapped to the same Standard Occupational Classification (SOC) ${ }^{5}$ code (132011) and to the same Bureau of Labor Statistics wage data. This yields a bias against Bachelor's and more advanced degrees, which tend to command higher salaries, by averaging down the wage data. The Bureau of Labor Statistics wage data also isn't disaggregated by starting salary or by a reasonable proxy for starting salary, such as age. There is no evidence that the $25^{\text {th }}$ percentile (or any other percentile) correlates with starting salaries. The Bureau of Labor Statistics wage data is, however, disaggregated by occupation and by percentile ( $10^{\text {th }}, 25^{\text {th }}, 50^{\text {th }}, 75^{\text {th }}$ and $90^{\text {th }}$ ).

[^0]On the other hand, US Census Bureau income data is disaggregated by educational attainment (e.g., Associate's, Bachelor's, Master's, doctoral and professional degrees) ${ }^{6}$ and by 10 -year age bands (e.g., age 25-34, age 35-44, age 45-54, age 55-64 and age 65+), ${ }^{7}$ but not by occupation.

The Bureau of Labor Statistics wage data and the US Census Bureau income data by educational attainment each provides an element of the solution that the other data source lacks.

## PROPOSED SOLUTION

Blending the Bureau of Labor Statistics wage data with US Census Bureau income by educational attainment data might yield a reasonable workaround to the limitations of both data sources. This blending would be accomplished by using the US Census Bureau data to calculate the ratios of median income for each level of educational attainment for the age 25-34 age band with the overall median income for age $25+$. The median Bureau of Labor Statistics wage data ( $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile) for each occupation would then be multiplied by these median ratios to adjust the occupational wage data for differences in educational attainment.

This combination of Bureau of Labor Statistics wage data with US Census Bureau income by educational attainment data assumes that the median ratios are independent of occupation. That is not necessarily a valid assumption. However, this approach seems to be more motivated than arbitrarily using the $25^{\text {th }}$ percentile for Associate's degrees, the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile for Bachelor's degrees, the $75^{\text {th }}$ percentile for Master's degrees and the $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile for professional and doctoral degrees, albeit with similar results.

The following table shows US Census Bureau data for median income in 2005 by educational attainment for year round full time workers, all races, both sexes. ${ }^{8}$ This is the most recent available data.

| By Age | $\begin{gathered} \bar{\pi} \\ \stackrel{0}{0} \\ \hline 1 \end{gathered}$ | High School |  | College |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Bachelor's or more |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \bar{\pi} \\ \stackrel{0}{0} \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{c}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{n} \\ & \frac{1}{01} \\ & \frac{1}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \overline{0} & \tilde{U} \\ \frac{0}{0} & \frac{1}{6} \\ \text { O } & \frac{0}{0} \\ 0 & \end{array}$ |
| Age 18+ | \$38,431 | \$22,326 | \$31,209 | \$36,371 | \$40,708 | \$57,541 | \$51,436 | \$64,540 | \$100,000+ | \$85,774 |
| Age 25+ | \$40,631 | \$23,321 | \$32,259 | \$39,096 | \$41,546 | \$59,621 | \$52,224 | \$64,859 | \$100,000+ | \$85,706 |
| Age 25-64 | \$40,542 | \$23,079 | \$32,164 | \$38,843 | \$41,484 | \$59,104 | \$52,092 | \$64,708 | \$100,000+ | \$83,774 |
| Age 25-34 | \$33,859 | \$21,639 | \$28,380 | \$32,353 | \$35,535 | \$45,651 | \$42,092 | \$51,391 | \$71,308 | \$60,213 |
| Age 35-44 | \$41,307 | \$22,985 | \$33,027 | \$40,136 | \$42,184 | \$61,931 | \$56,372 | \$68,591 | \$100,000+ | \$77,741 |
| Age 45-54 | \$43,688 | \$25,141 | \$35,360 | \$41,893 | \$46,040 | \$66,393 | \$60,700 | \$70,999 | \$100,000+ | \$98,169 |
| Age 55-64 | \$44,492 | \$25,135 | \$34,643 | \$41,888 | \$45,462 | \$66,635 | \$57,377 | \$71,348 | \$100,000+ | \$89,995 |
| Age 65+ | \$45,505 | \$29,218 | \$37,470 | \$49,005 | \$46,786 | \$81,306 | \$64,269 | \$78,110 | \$100,000+ | \$100,000+ |

[^1]The following table calculates the ratio of the values in the previous table to the total median for age $25+$. This demonstrates how the median income for a particular level of educational attainment and a particular age-band compares with the overall median.

| Ratio to <br> Age 25+ <br> Total | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\mathrm{O}} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | High School |  | College |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Bachelor's or more |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\pi} \\ & \stackrel{7}{0} \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \vdots \frac{1}{む} \\ & \frac{0}{4} \\ & \vdots \\ & i \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Age 18+ | 95\% | 55\% | 77\% | 90\% | 100\% | 142\% | 127\% | 159\% | NA | 211\% |
| Age 25+ | 100\% | 57\% | 79\% | 96\% | 102\% | 147\% | 129\% | 160\% | NA | 211\% |
| Age 25-64 | 100\% | 57\% | 79\% | 96\% | 102\% | 145\% | 128\% | 159\% | NA | 206\% |
| Age 25-34 | 83\% | 53\% | 70\% | 80\% | 87\% | 112\% | 104\% | 126\% | 176\% | 148\% |
| Age 35-44 | 102\% | 57\% | 81\% | 99\% | 104\% | 152\% | 139\% | 169\% | NA | 191\% |
| Age 45-54 | 108\% | 62\% | 87\% | 103\% | 113\% | 163\% | 149\% | 175\% | NA | 242\% |
| Age 55-64 | 110\% | 62\% | 85\% | 103\% | 112\% | 164\% | 141\% | 176\% | NA | 221\% |
| Age 65+ | 112\% | 72\% | 92\% | 121\% | 115\% | 200\% | 158\% | 192\% | NA | NA |

As the highlighted values indicate, the ratios for age 25-34 to the overall median are $80 \%$ for Certificates, $87 \%$ for Associate's degrees, $104 \%$ for Bachelor's degrees, $126 \%$ for Master's degrees, $148 \%$ for Doctoral degrees and $176 \%$ for Professional degrees. ${ }^{9}$ The figures are close to certain of the percentile figures in the Bureau of Labor Statistics wage data, with Certificates close to the $25^{\text {th }}$ percentile, Bachelor's degrees close to the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile (median), Master's degrees close to the $75^{\text {th }}$ percentile and Doctoral and Professional degrees close to the $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile. ${ }^{10}$

These values are remarkably close to multiples of $1 / 8$. Given that this approach is somewhat arbitrary, it might be best to round the ratios to the nearest multiple of $1 / 8$. That would yield the following ratios:

| Degree | Rounded Ratio | Degree | Rounded Ratio |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Certificate | $3 / 4$ | Master's | $1-1 / 4$ |
| Associate's | $7 / 8$ | Doctoral | $1-1 / 2$ |
| Bachelor's | 1 | Professional | $1-3 / 4$ |

These ratios would be applied to the median Bureau of Labor Statistics wage data for SOC codes that correspond to multiple degree levels. SOC codes that correspond to a single educational level such as technician-only SOC codes would be left unchanged at the median value.

[^2]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Issue paper \#6 (pages 57-68) in www2.ed.gov/policy/highered/reg/hearulemaking/2009/integrity-summary3.pdf
    ${ }^{2}$ The Bureau of Labor Statistics wage data is based on three years of data, necessitating the use of three years of data for the proposed gainful employment regulation.
    ${ }^{3}$ www.bls.gov/oes/current/oes stru.htm
    ${ }^{4}$ Mark Kantrowitz, What is Gainful Employment? What is Affordable Debt?, March 11, 2010. www.finaid.org/educators/20100301gainfulemployment.pdf
    ${ }^{5}$ See the US Department of Labor's Occupational Information Network (O*NET) at online.onetcenter.org.

[^1]:    ${ }^{6}$ The US Census Bureau data does not include medians for Certificates and non-degree credentials, but the "Some College, No Degree" category might be a reasonable substitute.
    ${ }^{7}$ The age 25-34 age band is a reasonable but imperfect proxy for starting salaries.
    ${ }^{8}$ See table 8 of www.census.gov/population/www/socdemo/education/cps2006.html from the 2006 Current Population Survey (CPS).

[^2]:    ${ }^{9}$ Substituting the Age 25-64 overall median in the denominator yields percentages that differ by at most $1 \%$, namely $80 \%, 88 \%, 104 \%, 127 \%, 149 \%$ and $176 \%$. Substituting the age $18+$ overall median in the denominator yields percentages that differ by at most $10 \%$, namely $84 \%, 92 \%, 110 \%, 134 \%, 157 \%$ and $186 \%$. Age $25+$ was chosen because it yielded the lowest ratios and hence was the most conservative.
    ${ }^{10}$ Across all occupations in the Bureau of Labor Statistics wage data, the $25^{\text {th }}$ percentile is $78 \%$ of the median with a standard deviation of $5 \%$. The $75^{\text {th }}$ percentile is $129 \%$ of the median with a standard deviation of $10 \%$ and the $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile is $165 \%$ of the median with a standard deviation of $24 \%$.

